

A remark on parabolic subalgebras

\mathfrak{g} a complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{g}$

\mathfrak{p} is parabolic if it contains a Borel (i.e. max. solvable) subalgebra.

Let \mathfrak{p}^\perp denote the Killing poles of \mathfrak{p} in \mathfrak{g} . Then

it is well-known that $\mathfrak{p}^\perp \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{p}$ is the nilradical of \mathfrak{p} .

We prove a converse:

Prop: $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ is parabolic iff \mathfrak{p}^\perp is a nilpotent subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Remark The same result holds for real semisimple \mathfrak{g} since $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ is parabolic by defⁿ iff $\mathfrak{p}^\mathbb{C}$ is parabolic in $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ and our result is preserved by complexification.

We first prove:

Special case: assume $\mathfrak{p}^\perp \in \mathfrak{p}$

Define a filtration on \mathfrak{g} by setting

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(-1)} = \mathfrak{p}^\perp \in \mathfrak{g}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{p}$$

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(i)} = [\mathfrak{g}^{(-1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(i+1)}] \quad i < -1$$

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(i)} = \mathfrak{g}^{(-1-i)\perp} \quad i > 0$$

(i.e. series of \mathfrak{p}^\perp) $\dots \leq \mathfrak{g}^{(-2)} \leq \mathfrak{g}^{(-1)}$ is the central descending

Lemma A $[\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(i+j)} \quad \forall i, j \quad (*)_{i,j}$

Pf The Jacobi identity + defⁿ of $\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}$, $j < 0$, gives $(*)_{i,j}$

for $i, j < 0$

Then, for $j \geq 0$

$$([\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}], \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}) = (\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}, [\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}])$$

$$\subseteq (\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1-j)}) = 0$$

$$\text{so } [\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(j-i)} \quad \forall j.$$

◦ By Jacobi $[\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(j-i)} \quad \forall i \geq 0.$

Finally, for $i, j \geq 0$

$$([\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}], \mathfrak{g}^{(1-i-j)}) = (\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}, [\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1-i-j)}])$$

$$\subseteq (\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1-j)}) = 0$$

$$\text{so } [\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(i+j)} \quad \forall i, j. \quad \square$$

In particular, each $Z \in \mathfrak{p}^\perp$ is ad-nilpotent on \mathfrak{g} .

We now have

Lemma B ([Grot. Lemme 4.2]) If \mathfrak{p}^\perp is ad-nilpt on \mathfrak{g}

then there is a Borel subalg. \mathfrak{b} with $\mathfrak{p}^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$

[we do not even need \mathfrak{p} a subalg for this!]

Pf [Grot] \mathfrak{p}^\perp is nilpt. ◦ solvable ◦ } max. solv.

\mathfrak{b} with $\mathfrak{p}^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$.

However $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ with \mathfrak{h} CSA & $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{b}^\perp$ nilrad

& any $X \in \mathfrak{b}$ with ad_X nilpt lies in \mathfrak{n}

◦ ◦ $\mathfrak{p}^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{b}^\perp$ whence $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. \square

This completes the proof of the special case $p^\perp \leq p$ and, in particular, we deduce that in this case, p^\perp is the nilradical of p .

Now we treat the general case: so let $p, p^\perp \leq \mathfrak{g}$ with p^\perp nilpotent. We have

$$([p^\perp, p], p) = (\mathfrak{p}^\perp, [p, p]) \subset (p^\perp, p) = 0$$

so that $[p, p^\perp] \subset p^\perp$.

Thus (1) $p + p^\perp \leq \mathfrak{g}$

(2) $p^\perp \trianglelefteq p + p^\perp$

Now $(p + p^\perp)^\perp = p^\perp \cap p \leq p + p^\perp$ or

$p^\perp \cap p \leq p^\perp$ is nilpotent. The special case tells us then that $p + p^\perp$ is parabolic with nilradical $p \cap p^\perp$.

However p^\perp is a nilpotent ideal of $p + p^\perp$ whence

$p^\perp \cap p = p^\perp$ or $p + p^\perp = p$. Thus p is parabolic or we are done. \square