

# MA50177: Scientific Computing Case Study

## The Graph Drawing Problem

This is a problem in discrete mathematics which is closely related to other problems such as mesh partitioning and node ordering.

Suppose we are given a **graph**  $\mathcal{G} := (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  is the set of nodes and  $\mathcal{E}$  is a set of edges. Each edge connects two nodes. We assume that the graph is *undirected*, i.e. we do not distinguish between the edge  $(u, v)$  and the edge  $(v, u)$ . We assume also that the graph is *connected*, i.e. every node is connected to every other node by a path of edges.

In many applications, spatial coordinates for the nodes are not given in the graph specification. For example the graph could represent a family tree, with nodes for people and edges representing relationships between them.

**The graph drawing problem** is to determine spatial coordinates for the nodes (in 2D or 3D space) in such a way that the layout of the subsequent visualisation of the graph represents, as faithfully as possible, the structure of the graph. Such an assignment of spatial coordinates is called a **drawing** of the graph. In this assignment we will only be concerned with 2D drawings of graphs.

In order to make the graph drawing problem more tractable, we shall assume that each edge  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{E}$ , has been given an associated weight  $w_{(u,v)}$  (a real number). In the drawing, edges with large weights are required to be represented in the visualisation by relatively short lines, while edges with smaller weights should be represented by longer lines.

Let  $N$  denote the number of nodes in  $\mathcal{V}$ . The graph drawing problem in 2D then requires the computation of vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , containing the  $x$ - and  $y$ - coordinates of suitable points where the nodes in  $\mathcal{V}$  should be drawn (i.e.  $(x_u, y_u)$  will be the coordinates of node  $u$ ). Subsequently the graph can be visualised.

### Energy minimisation methods.

There is a huge literature on the graph drawing problem and in one of the original papers [1], Hall proposed that suitable vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  of  $x$  and  $y$  coordinates could be found by minimising, in some sense, the energy functional  $\psi$  defined, for any  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , by

$$\psi(\mathbf{z}) := \sum_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{E}} w_{(u,v)} (z_u - z_v)^2 . \quad (1)$$

In particular Hall suggested to determine the  $x$  coordinates of the nodes by requiring that  $\mathbf{x}$  solves the minimisation problem:

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \min\{\psi(\mathbf{z}) : \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^N, \|\mathbf{z}\|_2 = 1, \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{e} = 0\} . \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{e} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Intuitively, if  $\mathbf{x}$  minimises  $\psi$ , then edges with large weight  $w_{(u,v)}$  will correspond to a small value of  $(x_u - x_v)^2$ . (Note that, although  $\psi(\mathbf{e}) = 0$ , the solution  $\mathbf{e}$  has been ruled out as a solution to the minimisation problem.

This is because placement of all the nodes at  $x = 1$  is clearly not a feasible drawing. Hence the minimisation problem (2) is carried out in the orthogonal complement of the “spurious solution”  $\mathbf{e}$ .)

If  $\mathbf{x}$  does solve (2), then Hall proposed to determine the  $y$  coordinates of the nodes by solving the additional minimisation problem:

$$\phi(\mathbf{y}) = \min\{\psi(\mathbf{z}) : \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^N, \|\mathbf{z}\|_2 = 1, \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{e} = 0, \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{x} = 0\}. \quad (3)$$

Finally we introduce two matrices which feature strongly in the solution of these minimisation problems. The  $N \times N$  **weighted adjacency matrix**  $A$  is defined by

$$A_{u,v} = \begin{cases} w_{(u,v)}, & \text{if } (u,v) \in \mathcal{E} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , set

$$d_v = \sum_{u \text{ s.t. } (u,v) \in \mathcal{E}} w_{(v,u)}, \text{ and } D = \text{diag}\{d_v : v \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

Then, the **weighted Laplacian** of the graph is defined by:

$$L = D - A.$$

## The Assignment.

In the questions below, the notation **[length, n]** indicates the length of a typical handwritten answer and the number of marks out of 30 to be awarded for it.

1. Prove that the solution to (2) is an eigenvector of  $L$  corresponding to the smallest positive eigenvalue of  $L$ . Assuming that the smallest positive eigenvalue of  $L$  is simple, prove that the solution to (3) is an eigenvector of  $L$  corresponding to the second smallest positive eigenvalue of  $L$ . **[2 pages, 5]**
2. The algorithm below can be used to find the required eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ . Two new notations appear in this algorithm. If  $Z$  denotes any  $N \times 2$  matrix, then  $P$  denotes the operator:

$$P(Z) = Z - \frac{\mathbf{e} \mathbf{e}^T}{\mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}} Z.$$

Also the notation  $[Q, R] = qr(Z)$  means that an  $n \times 2$  matrix  $Q$  with orthonormal columns, and a  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular matrix  $R$  should be computed such that  $Z = QR$ . ( $Q$  and  $R$  can be found by taking appropriate submatrices of the matrices computed by the  $QR$  decomposition routine from LAPACK . You may assume that the diagonal entries of  $R$  are positive.)

### Algorithm 1.

*Initialisation phase:*

Choose a random  $N \times 2$  matrix  $Y^0$ . Choose  $\sigma < 0$ ,  $\sigma$  fairly near 0.



to do this, you should make sure that you are not neglecting your other courses by spending a disproportionately large amount of time on this assignment.

5. **For extra credit:** Ensure that your code performs as efficiently as possible, for example by (i) using BLAS to optimise the linear algebra operations; (ii) choosing  $\sigma$  carefully and possibly allowing  $\sigma$  to vary from one iteration to the next; (iii) employing an efficient linear solver for the solve step (\*) or (iv) any other efficiency measures. Write a report explaining the measures you have taken to ensure efficiency. This may be illustrated with the results of numerical experiments. [3 pages, 8]

## References

- [1] K.M. Hall, An r-dimensional quadratic placement algorithm, *Management Sci.* 17 (1970), pp 219-229. (This is available in the library)
- [2] Y. Koren, L. Carmel and D. Harel, Drawing huge graphs by algebraic multigrid optimisation, *Multiscale Modeling and Simulation* 1 (2003), pp 645-673. (I will put a copy in the MSc room.)
- [3] D. J. Higham and M. Kibble, A Unified View of Spectral Clustering, University of Strathclyde Mathematics Research Report 02 (2004), January 2004. (available from <http://www.maths.strath.ac.uk/~aas96106/reps.html> )